Governance Brief No. 4
Board Considerations Before Adopting LLM-Based Clinical Tools.
_____

Prepared by

J A Epperson, MBA
Healthcare Compliance & Governance Advisor

Governance Brief No. 4

Human Verification Requirements in AI-Augmented Clinical Care

Purpose

This brief outlines governance considerations related to human verification standards when AI- or LLM-assisted tools are integrated into clinical workflows.

As documentation and decision-support technologies expand within exam rooms and care settings, organizations must define clear boundaries between augmentation and substitution.

Human verification is the control layer that protects patient safety, professional judgment, and institutional defensibility.

---

1. The Core Governance Question

When AI influences clinical documentation or reasoning, the Board should ask:

Where, specifically, does human verification occur?

And:

What evidence demonstrates that it occurred?

Without defined verification standards, augmentation can quietly drift toward automation.

---

2. Defining Augmentation vs. Substitution

AI-enabled systems may:

• Summarize encounters
• Suggest diagnostic considerations
• Flag potential medication interactions
• Draft clinical notes
• Recommend coding adjustments

These functions are assistive.

They become substitutive when:

• Outputs are accepted without meaningful review
• Review time is compressed by productivity targets
• Auto-generated content is signed without modification
• Clinicians are unaware of system limitations

Governance must define and preserve the distinction.

3. Required Verification Standards

Boards should ensure policies clearly require:

• Independent clinical review prior to note signature
• Active confirmation of diagnosis and treatment plans
• Explicit responsibility for validating AI-generated documentation
• Documentation that meaningful review occurred

Verification should be operationally realistic — but clearly defined.

4. Workflow Design Considerations

Human verification is influenced by workflow structure.

Boards should examine whether:

• AI outputs are visually distinguishable from clinician input
• Systems require active acknowledgment before finalization
• Default settings encourage passive acceptance
• Time allocation supports deliberate review

Technology design can either reinforce or erode professional judgment.

Oversight must account for both policy and interface design.

5. High-Risk Scenario Controls

Certain situations may warrant heightened verification requirements:

• Complex diagnostic presentations
• Polypharmacy cases
• Behavioral health encounters with safety implications
• Pediatric or geriatric populations

• First-time consultations

Boards may consider requiring secondary review or escalation triggers in defined high-risk contexts.

---

6. Training and Cultural Framing

Verification standards must be introduced as:

A patient safety control
Not a productivity obstacle

Organizations should:

• Educate clinicians on AI system limitations
• Reinforce professional accountability
• Monitor reliance patterns
• Encourage reporting of system anomalies

Cultural framing determines whether verification becomes routine discipline or perceived burden.

---

7. Monitoring and Audit

Human verification requirements should not exist only in policy manuals.

Boards should require:

• Periodic audit of AI-assisted notes
• Measurement of modification rates
• Review of error patterns
• Feedback loops for workflow refinement

Monitoring protects both patients and clinicians.

---

8. Incentives and Exposure

Verification erodes when:

• Compensation models reward throughput
• Review time expectations decrease
• Staffing models tighten margins
• AI adoption is framed as efficiency optimization alone

Boards should ensure that incentive structures do not unintentionally undermine verification standards.

Technology adoption without aligned incentives increases exposure.

Strategic Framing

AI-augmented tools can:

• Reduce clerical burden
• Improve documentation consistency
• Surface safety flags
• Support standardized care pathways

These benefits are real.

But professional judgment remains the final safeguard.

Verification is the bridge between technological capability and defensible care delivery.

Closing Observation

AI can assist clinical reasoning.

It cannot assume responsibility for it.

Organizations that define explicit human verification standards before implementation strengthen patient protection and institutional resilience.

In AI-augmented care, the most important control remains human judgment — exercised deliberately, documented clearly, and supported by governance structure.

Boards evaluating AI-enabled clinical tools may benefit from an independent governance perspective prior to deployment.

A structured external review often surfaces gaps that are easy to miss during implementation planning.